

17 April 1963

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT : Panel on Future Reconnaissance Operations

1. Personnel. I believe the best individual to act as Chairman of such a panel would be Dr. Edward Purcell, Harvard University. He has been associated with the U. S. reconnaissance program since before the U-2 and has outstanding scientific competence in almost all areas associated with such programs. His recognized authority will provide, in addition, a type of prestige necessary for such a group.

Three or four other non-Government members of the group who could make an important contribution should be selected from the following:

Dr. Richard Garwin, Watson Laboratories. Member of the President's Science Advisory Committee and [redacted] on space and ABM matters.

Dr. Charles Townes, Provost, MIT. Former technical director of IDA. [redacted]

Dr. Carl Overhage, Director of Lincoln Laboratories. Former member of many advisory groups involved in reconnaissance operations.

Dr. Marvin Goldberger, Princeton University. Key figure in the [redacted] Actively involved in anti-ballistic missile studies. [redacted]

Dr. James Perkins, forthcoming President of Cornell University. Presently VP of Carnegie Institute. (might supply some social scientific or political background to the panel)

NRO review(s)
completed.

25X1A [redacted]

25X1A



Page 2


Dr. Donald Ling, Bell Telephone Laboratories.
Active and constructive participant or chairman of
many previous space and defense panels.

Dr. Donald Hornig, Princeton University. Member
of the President's Science Advisory Committee and
Chairman of its space subcommittee.

Herbert York, former Director of Research and
Engineering, DOE.

The following Governmental representatives are
suggested:

Arthur Lundahl, D/NPIC



Dr. Eugene Fubini, DOE

Dr. William Pickering, head of the Jet Propulsion
Laboratory (NASA)

2. Terms of Reference. The terms of reference for the panel
activity should be reasonably general and geared primarily toward
recommendations on future, presently unprogrammed reconnaissance
activities. In the course of developing ideas for future systems, the
panel would be expected to review presently programmed systems
and evaluate their adequacy from an intelligence, scientific and
political point of view.

3. Support to Panel. The NRO should be asked to provide
necessary support to the panel and arrange for the necessary briefings, etc.
Various USIB agencies may be asked to contribute in areas of their
particular interest.


HERBERT SCOVILLE, JR.
Deputy Director
(Research)

25X1A



Page 3

25X1 O/DD/R:RScoville:jlp:



Distribution:

- # 1 - Addressee
- # 2 - Addressee
- # 3 - DNRO
- # 4 - Exec. Director
- # 5 - DDR Subject w/ Action Memorandum A-226
- # 6 - DDR Chrono